IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

CHERYL E. JAMERSON, Personal Representative of the Estate of James E. Jamerson, Deceased and CHERYL E. JAMERSON, individually and as the wife of James E. Jamerson, Deceased

PLAINTIFF

vs. Civil Case No. 4:08CV00651 HLJ

POPE COUNTY; POPE COUNTY SHERIFF'S
DEPARTMENT; POPE COUNTY DETENTION
CENTER; JAY WINTERS, Pope County
Sheriff; CAPTAIN TERRY BAILEY,
Director of Pope County Detention
Center; LIEUTENTANT DANNY SOREY;
OFFICERS SGT. JAMIE GRAY, ERIC
BENEFIELD, CHRIS JOHNSTON, DARRELL
MEARS, PETER HAMILTON and MARK MELTON,
Individually and in Their Official
Capacities, Jointly and Severally

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

The Court has reviewed the Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition received from Magistrate Judge Henry L. Jones, Jr. There have been no objections. The Findings and Recommendations are adopted in their entirety as this Court's findings, as clarified in the Magistrate Judge's Order entered on August 26, 2009, and as modified below.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Time in which to serve process on Defendants Eric Benefield, Chris Johnston, Peter Hamilton and Mark Melton for sixty days (DE # 15) is granted <u>nunc pro tunc</u> to January 29, 2009, and Plaintiff is

Case 4:08-cv-00651-JMM Document 35 Filed 09/08/09 Page 2 of 2

granted thirty days from the date of this order to show why

Defendants Eric Benefield and Peter Hamilton should not be

dismissed under Rule 4(m) for failure to perfect service.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to change

Proposed Trial Date, to Extend Summons, to Extend discovery and

Motion to Change Deadline for Filing Motions (DE # 16) is denied as

moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Separate Defendants' Motion to

Dismiss (DE # 18) is denied as to Defendant Pope County; the Motion

is hereby granted as to Pope County Sheriff's Department and Pope

County Detention Center.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff notify the court no later

than September 30, 2009, as to whether, if counsel's motion to be

relieved is granted, she has newly retained counsel to represent

her in this lawsuit, or if she intends to proceed without counsel.

SO ORDERED this 8 day of September, 2009.

INTTED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2